

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,070	06/29/2005	Atsuko Kosuda	124508	6057
25944	7590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			DINKINS, ANTHONY	
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDR	928 IA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	۸
_	π

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/541,070	KOSUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony Dinkins	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/29/2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the p	action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Office 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/541,070 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP-08-107047. JP-08-107047 discloses in Figure 5, an electrochemical capacitor having an anode and a cathode, insulating separator, an electrolytic solution, a casing, wherein the anode contains substantially spherical carbon material having an electronic conductivity as a constituent material and a cathode which contains fibrous carbon material having an electronic conductivity as a constituent material, see page 2 of 9 para [0009-0011]. Regarding claim 4, wherein the separator comprises an insulating porous body; wherein the anode includes a porous layer containing the substantially spherical carbon material; wherein the cathode includes a porous layer containing the fibrous carbon material; and wherein the electrolytic solution is at least partially contained in the anode, cathode, and separator, see page 2-3. Regarding claim 11, wherein the electrolytic solution using an organic solvent, see page 4 of 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2831

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3 and 6-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP-08-107047. JP-08-107047 discloses applicant's claimed invention except for having the carbon material to have the desired range, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the carbon material to have the desired range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Regarding claims 6 and 8, JP-08-107047 discloses applicant's claimed invention except for having the desired mass % of the carbon material in the porous layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the desired mass % of the spherical carbon material in the porous layer, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Regarding claims 7 and 9, JP-08-107047 discloses applicant's claimed invention except for having desired range for the specific surface area. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the desired range for the specific surface area of the spherical carbon material in the porous layer, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in

Art Unit: 2831

the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Regarding claim 10, JP-08-107047 discloses applicant's claimed invention except for having the desired ratio of void volume in the porous body volume of the porous body contained in the separator. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the desired ratio of void volume in the porous body volume of the porous body contained in the separator, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 5 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

5,748,437

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nonaka et al.	6,808,845
Sagal	6,620,366
Morimoto et al.	4,862,328
Otowa et al.	6,413,409

Andelman

Art Unit: 2831

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Dinkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1972. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Dinkins Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2831

AD

ANTHONY DINKINS PRIMARY EXAMINER